

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/875,588 06/06/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
		06/2001	Rickey D. Hart	INNO-15 CON 3	8895	
7	7590	07/01/2003				
Mark J. Pandiscio				EXAMINER		
Pandiscio & Pandiscio 470 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02154				WOO, JULIAN W		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3731	1	
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/875,588	HART ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Julian W. Woo	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE AMONT	SIVO) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill appty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS focuse the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
Status	าว						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/8/(
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.	prospection as to the marite is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are pending in the application	n						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	•					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<u>-</u>					

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 22-24 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,827,298. This is a double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9302.

Julian W. Woo Patent Examiner

Julian M. Moo

June 24, 2003